

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/607,675	Applicant(s) BERNU, CORY	
	Examiner Sam Chuan C. Yao	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(2) Mr. Walter Steinkraus.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 all

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Counsel that, a limitation in claim 23 of "... an average size range of greater than 200 microns" does not have a sufficient support in the original disclosure. Proposed amending this claim by positively requiring an upper bound on the recited additive dimension (see Examiner's amendment for details).